UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
X	Case No. 18-CV-11756 (GBD) (DCF)
GENEVA AIKEN,	

Plaintiff,

- against -

- PROPOSED -JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER

MTA NEW YORK CITY TRANSIT, DONALD HOUSTON, In His Individual and Official Capacities, PHAKESHIA MURPHY, In Her Individual and Official Capacities, ALFRED CAMINERO, In His Individual and Official Capacities,

Defendants.		
	-Σ	<

The parties having conferred among themselves and with the Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, and pursuant to this Court's Individual Motion Practices and Rules V(A), the following statements, directions, and agreements are adopted as the Pretrial Order herein.

### 1. Full Caption of the Action

See above.

#### 2. Trial Counsel Contact Information

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Geneva Aiken
Gregory Calliste, Jr., Esq.
PHILLIPS & ASSOCIATES, Attorneys at Law, PLLC
45 Broadway, Suite 430
New York, New York 10006
T: (212) 248-7431
F: (212) 901-2107
gcalliste@tpglaws.com

Attorneys for Defendants, MTA New York City Transit, Donald Houston, Phakeshia Murphy, and Alfred Caminero

Beth L. Kaufman, Esq.
Samantha Tomey, Esq.
SCHOEMAN UPDIKE KAUFMAN & GERBER LLP
551 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10176
T: (212) 661-5030

bkaufman@schoeman.com stomey@schoeman.com

# 3. Subject Matter Jurisdiction

## Plaintiff's Statement:

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, particularly 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e *et seq.* and 42 U.S.C. §1983. In addition, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's State and City law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, particularly New York State Executive Law §§296, *et seq.* and N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-107 *et seq.* 

#### Defendants' Statement:

Defendants do not dispute that, if the allegations of the Amended Complaint are in fact true, there is subject matter jurisdiction in this Court for plaintiff's claims under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. ("Title VII") and supplemental jurisdiction for plaintiff's claims under the New York State Human Rights Law ("NYSHRL"), N.Y. Exec. L. § 290 et seq., and the New York City Human Rights Law ("NYCHRL"), N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 80101 et seq.

# 4. Summary of Claims and Defenses

## Plaintiff's Claims:

Plaintiff alleged a hostile work environment under <u>Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964</u>, as codified, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17 (amended in 1972, 1978 and by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-166) ("Title VII"), <u>42 U.S.C.</u> § 1983; the <u>New York State Human Rights Law</u>, New York State Executive Law § 296, et. seq. ("NYSHRL"), and the <u>New York City Human Rights Law</u>, New York City Administrative Code § 8-107, et seq. ("NYCHRL"), which was alleged to be created by her supervisor Defendants, Donald Houston, Phakeshia Murphy, and Alfred Caminero, based on religion and need for religious accommodations. Plaintiff filed multiple requests for a religious accommodation, which were continuously ignored. MTA Defendants eventually retaliated against Plaintiff for requesting the accommodations, rather than self-accommodating her needs. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant MTA is liable for the hostile work environment because her complaints were futile at Defendant MTA and that Defendant MTA, its managers, and Human Resources did not adhere to its EEO policies.

Plaintiff's remaining claims are: (1) Discriminatory Demotion under Title VII against the MTA; (2) Discriminatory Demotion under the NYSHRL against the Defendant MTA and Defendant Caminero (including director actor liability and aider-and-abettor liability); (3) Discriminatory Demotion under the NYCHRL against the Defendant MTA and Defendant

Caminero (including director actor liability and aider-and-abettor liability); and (4) Hostile Work Environment, Discrimination, and Retaliation against Defendants, MTA, Caminero, Murphy, and Houston, under the NYCHRL.

#### **Defendants' Defenses:**

The undisputed facts demonstrate there was no discrimination or retaliation against Plaintiff. Notably, the sole adverse employment action to which Plaintiff was subjected – her demotion from a probationary position in which she did not perform satisfactorily to the position she previously had – was caused solely by her inability to fulfill the job responsibilities of her probationary position. Plaintiff's requests for religious accommodations were granted without question or issue throughout her employment, both during her tenure as a Bus Operator and also during her employment as a probationary Surface Line Dispatcher.

Plaintiff will be unable to satisfy her burden to show unlawful discrimination was the cause of any alleged retaliatory action. Plaintiff was demoted because of poor work performance before she ever complained about alleged discrimination.

The Amended Complaint alleges – in conclusory fashion only – that Plaintiff was subjected to a hostile work environment. There is no factual allegation, much less evidence, however, of a hostile work environment, apart from Plaintiff's unsubstantiated allegations of a few isolated remarks. Such isolated remarks, even if true, cannot constitute a hostile work environment.

To the extent Plaintiff appears to seek to proceed on claims as to which Defendants have already been awarded summary judgment, those claims are barred. As recommended by Magistrate Judge Freeman and as ordered by this Court, the claims that have survived for trial are only:

1. Under Title VII, the claim against the MTA for discriminatory demotion;

- Under the NYSHRL, the claim against the MTA and defendant Alfred Caminero, both individually and as aider and abettor, for discriminatory demotion;
- 3. Under the NYCHRL, the claims against the MTA and Caminero for discriminatory demotion and retaliatory demotion; and
- 4. Under the NYCHRL, the claims against all defendants for a hostile work environment, discrimination and retaliation based on the alleged coercive and/or threatening comments allegedly made by defendants Murphy, Houston and Caminero regarding Plaintiff's requests for a religious accommodation.

#### 5. Jury and Length of Trial

The case is to be tried before a jury. Assuming plaintiff's witness list is substantially reduced, the estimated total length of the trial is expected to be 4-5 trial days.

## 6. Magistrate Judge

The parties do not consent to trial of the case by a Magistrate Judge.

#### 7. The Parties' Stipulations of Fact and Law

- 1. Plaintiff is a Christian and/or a Baptist.
- 2. As a Baptist, Plaintiff observes the Sabbath on Sundays.
- 3. As a Baptist, Plaintiff attends church on Sundays.
- 4. Plaintiff submitted letters from her church to NYCTA Defendants about her need to have Sundays off.
- 5. Plaintiff requested a religious accommodation and to be accommodated by NYCTA Defendants.
- 6. Plaintiff initially began her employment with NYCTA Defendants as a Bus Operator and held that position for 6 years.
- 7. Plaintiff took the test to become a Probationary Surface Line Dispatcher ("SLD") and passed.

- On or about December 8, 2016, Plaintiff was made a Probationary SSLD and was assigned to the West Farm Depot facility in the Bronx.
- 8. On January 16, 2018, Plaintiff made a complaint to MTA/NYCTA'S EEO Department following her demotion from the probationary SLD position back to the Bus Operator position.
- 9. Plaintiff made a complaint to Veronique Hakim on January 15, 2018 regarding alleged "harassment."
- 10. On August 23, 2018, Plaintiff submitted to the New York State Division of Human Rights a Charge of Discrimination against the MTA/NYCTA, alleging that she was "discriminated and retaliated against due to [her] religion."
- 11. MTA/NYCTA'S EEO representative, Jacob Goins was assigned to investigate Plaintiff's compliant.

## 8. Witness Lists with Summary of Testimony

#### Plaintiffs' Witnesses

- 1. Plaintiff Geneva Aiken, represented by PHILLIPS & ASSOCIATES, Attorneys at Law, PLLC, 45 Broadway, Suite 430, New York, New York 10006. Plaintiff will testify to the discrimination, hostile work environment, and the constructive discharge from her employment, as well as the damages stemming from same.
- 2. Defendant Alfred Caminero, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Defendant CAMINERO will testify to his conduct against Plaintiff.
- 3. Defendant Donald Houston, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Defendant HOUSTON will testify to his conduct against Plaintiff.
- 4. Defendant Phakeshia Murphy, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Defendant MURPHY will testify to her conduct against Plaintiff.
- 5. John P. Dhuman, Deputy General Manager, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Mr. Dhuman can testify to Plaintiff's accommodation requests, as well as the discrimination, retaliation, and hostile work environment endured by Plaintiff.
- 6. Emilio Reyes, Mr. Reyes can testify to Plaintiff's accommodation requests, as well as the discrimination, retaliation, and hostile work environment endured by Plaintiff.

- 7. Amadu Haruna, Mr./Ms. Haruna can testify to Plaintiff's accommodation requests, as well as the discrimination, retaliation, and hostile work environment endured by Plaintiff.
- 8. Patrick Bambury, Mr. Bambury can testify to Plaintiff's accommodation requests, as well as the discrimination, retaliation, and hostile work environment endured by Plaintiff.
- 9. Antonio Seda, Assistant Vice President of EEO Investigations, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Mr. Seda can testify as to the investigation process into Plaintiff's complaints.
- 10. Jacob A. Goins, NYCTA'S EEO Officer, represented by [unknown at this time]. Mr. Goins can testify as to the investigation process into Plaintiff's complaints.
- 11. Alexander T. Linzer, NYCTA'S EEO Director for EEO Investigations, represented by [unknown at this time]. Mr. Linzer can testify as to the investigation process into Plaintiff's complaints.
- 12. Cathy Gonzalez, Investigator, represented by [unknown at this time]. Ms. Gonzalez can testify to Plaintiff's religious accommodation requests.
- 13. Danielle Brogan, Director, Collective Bargaining, Office of Labor Relations, New York City Transit, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Ms. Brogan can testify to Plaintiff's religious accommodation requests.
- 14. Michael Lawson, TSO, represented by [unknown at this time] . Mr. Lawson can testify to the end of Plaintiff's employment.
- 15. Robert Bruno, General Manager Bronx Division, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Mr. Bruno can testify to the end of Plaintiff's employment.
- 16. Thomas Burke, General Superintendent, MTA/MABSTOA, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176.. Mr. Burke was present and can testify about Plaintiff's alleged disciplinary issues, Plaintiff's demotion, meeting held with Plaintiff and Defendant Caminero regarding demotion, Plaintiff's claims of discrimination and Plaintiff's ongoing complaints to the MTA about discrimination, hostile work environment and retaliation.

- 17. John McGahern, served as the General Superintendent at M.J. Quill Bus Depot in the year 2016 and addressed Plaintiff's previous religious accommodation request while a Bus Operator.
- 18. MTA, Arlene Benjamin, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Ms. Benjamin can testify to Plaintiff's discrimination, harassment, and hostile work environment, particularly with the religious accommodation requests.
- 19. SLD Joselito Valentine, represented by [unknown at this time]. Ms. Valentine can testify to Plaintiff's discrimination, harassment, and hostile work environment.
- 20. BO Stewart, represented by [unknown at this time?]. Mr. Stewart can testify to Plaintiff's discrimination, harassment, and hostile work environment.
- 21. BO (First Name Unknown) Maldonado, represented by [unknown at this time]. Mr. Maldonado can testify to Plaintiff's discrimination, harassment, and hostile work environment.
- 22. BO Ochere (Last Name Unknown), represented by [unknown at this time]. Mr. Ochere can testify to Plaintiff's discrimination, harassment, and hostile work environment.
- 23. Latonya Crisp-Sauray, Recording Secretary TWU Local 100, represented by Schoeman Updike Kaufman & Gerber LLP, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10176. Ms. Crisp-Sauray can testify to Plaintiff's complaints about failure to provide religious accommodations.
- 24. Terrance ("Terry") Raines, Plaintiff AIKEN's Friend. Mr. Raines will testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress, efforts to mitigate and hardships faced following her departure from the MTA.
- 25. Dr. Jacques Jospitre, Jr. SohoMD, The Art of Health, 110 Lafayette Street, 5th Floor, New York, New York 10013. Dr. Jospitre can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 26. Mumin Mushtaq Ahmed Hakim, M.D., Jacobi Medical Center, 1400 Pelham Parkway South, Bronx, New York 10461. Dr. Hakim can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 27. Robert Harley, formerly with Occupational Health Services (MAC)/New York City Transit/New York City Transit, Occupational Health Services, MAC1, 177 Livingston Street, 4th Floor, Suite B, Brooklyn, New York 11201. Representation

- is unknown at this time. Mr. Harley can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 28. Any Other Medical Providers from Occupational Health Services (MAC)/New York City Transit/New York City Transit, Occupational Health Services, MAC1, 177 Livingston Street, 4th Floor, Suite B, Brooklyn, New York 11201. Medical Providers can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 29. Alexandra Ubilla, New York-Presbyterian, Brooklyn Methodist Hospital, 506 6th Street, Brooklyn, New York 11215. Dr. Ubilla can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 30. Silvia Cardenas, New York-Presbyterian, Brooklyn Methodist Hospital, 506 6th Street, Brooklyn, New York 11215. Dr. Cardenas can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 31. Dr. Chaie, New York-Presbyterian, Brooklyn Methodist Hospital, 506 6th Street, Brooklyn, New York 11215. Dr. Chaie can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 32. Michael Foley, New York-Presbyterian, Brooklyn Methodist Hospital, 506 6th Street, Brooklyn, New York 11215. Dr. Foley can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 33. Jean Guy Elie, Brooklyn Medical Care Practice, P.C., 765 Nostrand Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11216. Dr. Elie can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.
- 34. Perry A. Frankel, Cardiologist, 401 Lakeville Road, Suite 209, New Hyde Park, NY 11040. Dr. Frankel can testify to Plaintiff's emotional distress and/or medical condition(s) as a result of MTA Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory conduct.

Plaintiff reserves the right to call his witnesses in any order, as well as to call any witness on Defendants' witness list to the extent they have relevant or otherwise admissible testimony. Plaintiff reserves the right not to call any witness listed above. Plaintiff further reserves his right to call any witness, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Evidence, to rebut or otherwise impeach testimony given by any witness in this trial.

## **Defendants' Witnesses**

Defendants reserve their right to call additional witnesses to rebut Plaintiff's evidence or not to call some of the witnesses on the below list; to call additional witnesses depending upon the Court's pretrial rulings; and to call any of the below witnesses as well as any witness on Plaintiff's witness list, whether called by Plaintiff or not. Defendants further reserve the right to respond through documentary evidence or testimony to any new evidence offered by Plaintiff.

- 1. Geneva Aiken
- 2. Alfredo Caminero
- 3. Donald Houston
- 4. Phakeshia Murphy
- 5. Representative from EEO Office

#### 9. Deposition Designations

#### Plaintiff's Deposition Designations

Plaintiff does not anticipate using any deposition testimony or designations other than for rebuttal and/or impeachment purposes, or in the event any of Plaintiff's witnesses are deemed to be unavailable at the time of trial pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(4).

#### Defendants' Deposition Designations

Testimony from Plaintiff Geneva Aiken: 12:9-11, 21:9-29:14, 40:7-15, 43:9-13, 40:18-42:21, 42:7-9, 43:20-44:22, 44:23-45:4, 46:2-47:8; 57:2-6, 63:13-17, 65:24-66:8, 71:24-72:2, 74:18-75:24, 106:10-107:7, 79:18-24, 75:8-76:18, 180:25-182:17.

#### 10. Exhibit Lists

#### Plaintiff's Exhibits

It appears that Plaintiff's Exhibits contain several duplicates, and also several exhibits that would be inadmissible given the scope of this trial as Defendants understand it. Given the apparent disagreement as to the scope of the trial, Defendants have noted that they reserve their rights to object to any exhibit should it be beyond the scope of this trial.

Exhibit	Document Description	Objection to	Objection to
No.		Authenticity	Admissibility
1	Aiken's G2 to Houston, AIKEN 000006 – 000008, AIKEN CONFIDENTIAL 000151, 000152-000153		Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
2	Email RE Three Month Evaluation, AIKEN 000009		
3	Aiken's Cash Out Sick Days Memo, AIKEN 000010		Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
4	Email RE Evaluations on a Fair Chance, AIKEN 000012		Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
5	Email RE Three Month Evaluation, AIKEN CONFIDENTIAL 000015		Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
6	Aiken's Resume, AIKEN CONFIDENTIAL 000234 - 000235		
7	Aiken's Complaint to Affirmative Action Office, AIKEN CONFIDENTIAL 000416 – 000418		
8	Counseling Logs for Aiken, NYCTA000001, 000027		
9	Probationary Report, NYCTA000002 - 000004		
10	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000005		
11	Aiken's Write-Up, NYCTA000006		
12	Transport Worker's Union's Policy & Procedure, NYCTA000007		Irrelevant to any issues to be tried
13	Aiken's Record Employee Absences, NYCTA000008		
14	Transcript of Record, NYCTA000009 - 000013		
15	NYCTA'S Red Book, NYCTA000014 – 000015		Irrelevant to any issues to be tried
16	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000016 – 000017		

17	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000018	
18	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000019	
19	Audit Activity, NYCTA00020 - 000021	Irrelevant to any issues to be tried
20	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000022	
21	Aiken's Leave of Absence, NYCTA000024	
22	Aiken's Leave of Absence, NYCTA000025	
23	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000027	
24	NYCTA'S Red Book, NYCTA000028 – 000030	Irrelevant to any issues to be tried
25	Supervisor's Accident/Crime Investigation Report, NYCTA000031 - 000034	
26	Memo, Statement from Caminero RE Incident on 1/15/2018, NYCTA000035	
27	Memo RE Recommendation for Extension or Probation of Aiken, NYCTA000036	
28	Aiken's Probationary Report, NYCTA000037 – 000039	
29	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000040	
30	Memo RE Extension of Aiken's Probation, NYCTA000041	
31	Memo RE Extension of Aiken's Probation, NYCTA000042	
32	Memo RE Recommendation to Extend Aiken's Probationary Period, NYCTA000043	
33	December 2017 Church Letter, NYCTA000044	
34	Email RE Authorized Personnel, NYCTA000045	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
35	Aiken's Sick & Personal Action Records, NYCTA000046 - 000047	
36	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000048	
37	Memo RE Extension of Aiken's Probation, NYCTA000049	
38	Memo RE Extension of Aiken's Probation, NYCTA000050	
39	Aiken's Dispatcher Post Check, NYCTA000051 – 000056	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
40	Depot Control Log, NYCTA000057 - 000060	
41	Memo RE Sabbath Observance Request for Aiken, NYCTA000061	

42	January 2017 Church Letter, NYCTA000062	
43	July 2017 Church Letter, NYCTA000063	
44	Transcript of Record, NYCTA000064	
45	Aiken's Probationary Bus Operator Counseling Statement, NYCTA000065	
46	Aiken's Sick & Personal Action Records, NYCTA000066	
47	Aiken's Appointment/Payroll Notice, NYCTA000067, NYCTA000068	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
48	Aiken's Driving Abstract, NYCTA000069 – 000070	
49	Application Access Request Form for Aiken, NYCTA000071 - 000072	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
50	Email RE I-Vault Request Notification, NYCTA000073	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
51	Drop Notice RE Aiken's Promotion, NYCTA000074	
52	Aiken's DBO UTS/EIS Database Access Request Form, NCYTA000075	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
53	Aiken's FEMA Certificates, NYCTA000076 – 000077	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
54	Aiken's Acknowledgement & Receipt of Understanding, NYCTA000078	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
55	Aiken's Dispatcher Badge Replacement/Turn In Form, NCYTA000079	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
56	Application Access Request Form for Aiken, NYCTA000080	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
57	Information for Depot & Training Center, NYCTA000081	Relevance (scope of trial) objection

		reserved
<b>5</b> 0	Memo RE Reporting to Supervisor, NYCTA000082	Relevance (scope of trial)
58		objection reserved
	Aiken's Dual Employment/Outside Activity	Relevance
<b>5</b> 0	Form, NYCTA000083	(scope of trial)
59		objection
		reserved
	Acknowledgment RE Misuse of Patrol Autos,	Relevance
60	NYCTA000084	(scope of trial)
00		objection
		reserved
	Aiken's Application for Employee Parking	Relevance
61	Permit, NYCTA000085 - 000086	(scope of trial)
		objection reserved
62	Aiken's Counseling Memo, NYCTA000095	reserved
63	July 2017 Church Letter, NYCTA000097	
64	July 2016 Church Letter, NYCTA000098	
65	Letter of Resignation, NYCTA000123	
	Aiken's EEO Complaint, NYCTA000127 -	Objection—
	000128	cumulative
66		(agreed it is
00		admissible for
		date of
		submission)
67	Aiken's EEO Statement, NYCTA000129 -	Objection—
	000130, 000278 – 000279	cumulative
68	Aiken's Witness List, NYCTA000131 - 000132	Objection, relevance
	Notice of Extended AWOL, NYCTA000141-	Televance
69	000144	
	Interview Notes, NYCTA000157 – 000160	Objection—
		relevance,
70		,hearsay (may
		be admissible as to scope of
		investigation
	Interview Notes, NYCTA000161 – 000162	Objection—
	interview rotes, it is introducted to our objects	relevance,
<b>7.</b> 1		hearsay (may
71		be admissible
		as to scope of
		investigation)
72	Request for Leave of Absence, with or without	

	pay, NYCTA000236	
73	Memo RE Aiken's Sabbath Observance Request, NYCTA000246	
74	Email RE Hands-On Request, NYCTA000247	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
75	2017 Religious Accommodation Approval, NYCTA000252	
76	Aiken's G2, NYCTA000261	
77	Murphy's Accident Report, NYCTA000266 - 000267	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
78	Caminero's Accident Report, NYCTA000270	
79	Supervisor's Accident Report, NCYTA000271 - 000274	
80	Email RE Harassment, NYCTA 000275 – 000276	Objection— cumulative, hearsay. May be admissible for certain factual aspects
81	Email RE Hands On Request, NYCTA000280	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
82	Email RE Crew, Yard, General Training, NYCTA000281	
83	Email RE Evaluation on Fair Chance, NYCTA000287	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
84	Email RE No Knowledge of U/A on My Record, NYCTA000288	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
85	Email RE Religious Observance Letter 2018, NYCTA000289	
86	Religious Accommodation Instructions, NYCTA000290 - 000291	
87	Email RE Harassment/Religious Observance, NYCTA000299 - 000300	
88	Email from Caminero RE Aiken's Religious Accommodation Request, NYCTA000306	
89	Email RE Aiken's Complaint of Harassment,	

	NYCTA000307	
90	Email from McGahren, NYCTA000330	
91	Email RE Evaluations on a Fair Chance, NYCTA000355 - 000361	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
92	Memo from Aiken to Houston, NYCTA000362 - 000364	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
93	Seda's Notice of Investigation, NYCTA000353 - 000354	
94	Email RE Evaluation on Fair Chance, NYCTA000355 - 000361	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
95	Interview Notes, NYCTA000375 - 000376	Objection—relevance, hearsay (may be admissible as to scope of investigation)
96	Interview Notes, NYCTA000377 - 000387	Objection— relevance, hearsay (may be admissible as to scope of investigation)
97	Interview Notes, NYCTA000388 – 000393, 000396	Objection— relevance, hearsay (may be admissible as to scope of investigation)
98	Email RE Aiken's Complaint to Dhuman, NYCTA000394 - 000395	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
99	Aiken's EEOC Witness List, NYCTA000406 – 000407	Objection— relevance
100	Aiken's EEOC Charge, NYCTA000423- 000424	Objection— relevance
101	Aiken's Job Summary (Pay Info), NYCTA000447 - 000449	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved

102	Respectful Workplace Policy, NYCTA000680 - 000682	
103	Internal Complaint Procedures, NYCTA000683 - 000691	
104	EEO Policy, NYCTA000692 - 000695	
105	Disciplinary Grievance Procedure, NYCTA000949 - 000957	
106	Progressive Disciplinary Procedure, NYCTA001128 - 001131	
107	Procedure for Religious Accommodation, NCYTA001305 - 001306	
108	NYCTA'S EEO Policy, NYCTA001451 – 001454	
109	NYCTA'S Internal Discrimination Complaint Management, NYCTA001455 - 001467	
110	NYCTA's Sexual & Other Discriminatory Harassment, NYCTA001468 - 001477	
111	NYCTA'S Reasonable Accommodations for Job Applicants & Employees w/ a Disability, or a Pregnancy-Related Condition, NYCTA001478 – 001487	
112	Dr. Frankel's Medical Records, NYCTA001490-001491	
113	NY-Presbyterian's Medical Records, NYCTA001704-001731	
114	NY-Presbyterian's Medical Records, NYCTA001735-001738	
115	Religious Accommodations Instructions, NYCTA001801	
116	Religious Accommodations Policy, NYCTA001802 - 001805	
117	Caminero's List of Training, NYCTA002371 – 02375	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
118	Houston's List of Training, NYCTA002376 – 002378	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
119	Murphy's List of Training, NYCTA002471 – 002476	Relevance (scope of trial) objection reserved
120	Supervisor's Guide to Reasonable Accommodation of Religious Observance or Practices, NYCTA002476 - 002484	

	Taking Control of the Road, Road Control	
121	Training Manual, Department of Buses,	
	Training Division, NCYTA002502 - 002542	
122	Email RE Aiken Request for Religious	
	Accommodation Approved, NYCTA002742	
123	Memo RE Aiken's Return West Farm,	
	NYCTA003344 - 003345	
124	Email RE Aiken's Status of Demotion to Bus	
	Operator, NYCTA003382	

Plaintiff reserves his right to supplement the Exhibit List and to offer additional documents in evidence-based on Defendants' presentation of evidence.

# Defendants' Exhibits

Exhibit	Document Description	Objection to	Objection to
No.		Authenticity	Admissibility
	Plaintiff's Resume [Aiken 234-235]		Relevance
			(401,402);
			Best
			Evidence
A			(901);
11			Limited
			Purpose
			(105);
			Hearsay (801,
			802)
	Plaintiff's Job Detail Summary [NYCTA 447-		Relevance
	449]		(401,402); Best
			Evidence
В			(901); Limited
			Purpose (105);
			Hearsay (801,
			802)
	Copies of letters from the New Life Christian		
C	Center, dated January 4, 2016 and July 2, 2017		
	[NYCTA 62-63]		
	Memorandum from Danielle Brogan to Amy		Best Evidence
D	Ramirez, dated April 28, 2014 [NYCTA 246]		(901); Limited
			Purpose (105);
			Hearsay (801,
			802)
Е	Excerpt from the February 22, 2019		Relevance
E	deposition of Plaintiff in a New York State		(401,402); Best

	Supreme Court, Kings County action Geneva Aiken v. The New York City Transit Authority, Index No.: 105145/2010	Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
F	"Taking Control of the Road, Road Control Training Manual, "from the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Department of Buses, dated September 4, 2015. [NYCTA 2502 – 2541]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
G	Plaintiff's Transcript of Record from the Metropolitan Transportation Authority, dated September 19, 2017. [NYCTA 9-13]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
Н	Probationary Report for all DISPATCHERS, dated April 5, 2017, July 7, 2017, September 25, 2017 and January 15, 2018. [NYCTA 2-4]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
I	Copy of a Bronx Road Operations Dispatcher Post Check, dated June 7, 2017 [NYCTA 51]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
J	Copy of a Bronx Road Operations Dispatcher Post Check, dated June 21, 2017 [NYCTA 52]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
K	Copy of a statement given by Murphy to Caminero, dated June 3, 2017 [NYCTA 95]	Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
L	A copy of an e-mail from Caminero to Hillary	Best Evidence

	Tomlinson, copying Patrick Bambury, Emilio Reyes and Murphy, dated July 6, 2017. [NYCTA 306]	(901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
M	A copy of the Religious Accommodation Policy and Procedure [NYCTA 1803-1805]	
N	Copy of the Procedures for Religious Accommodation, dated June 3, 1996. [NYCTA 1305-1306]	
O	Memo from Caminero to Patrick Bambury, dated September 25, 2017 [NYCTA 36]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
Р	Memo from Caminero to Patrick Bambury, dated September 25, 2017. [NYCTA 41]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
Q	Memo from Caminero to Patrick Bambury, dated January 15, 2018. [NYCTA 1]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
R	Statement from Caminero, dated January 15, 2018 [NYCTA 35]	Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
S	Copy of a Supervisor's Accident/Crime Investigation Report, dated January 15, 2018 [NYCTA 31-34]	Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
Т	Copy of an e-mail from Plaintiff to Veronique Hakim, dated January 15, 2018 [NYCTA 307]	
U	Lists of courses completed by Houston, Caminero and Murphy. [NYCTA 2371-2378; 2471-2475]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Hearsay

		(801, 802)
V	Policies from the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity, dated February 16, 2010, November 28, 2007, April 11, 2018 and January 17, 2019. [NYCTA 680-691; 1455-1487]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
W	Letter from the General Superintendent of Support Services from the Michael J. Quill Depot to Plaintiff dated June 21, 2018 [NYCTA 141]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
X	Letter from Plaintiff to MTA New York City Transit, MTA Business Service Center dated August 6, 2018 [NYCTA 123]	
Y	Copy of an email sent by Geneva Aiken to Emilio Reyes and Amadu Haruna, dated February 19, 2017 [NYCTA 281]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)
Z	Copy of email sent by Plaintiff to Donald Houston dated April 6, 2017 [Aiken 000015]	Relevance (401,402); Best Evidence (901); Limited Purpose (105); Hearsay (801, 802)

Defendants reserve the right to supplement the Exhibit List and to offer additional documents in evidence based on Plaintiff's presentation of evidence.

Dated: New York, New York March 29, 2022

PHILLIPS & ASSOCIATES Attorneys at Law PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff

/S/ Gregory Calliste, Jr.

Gregory Calliste, Jr., Esq. 45 Broadway, Suite 430 New York, New York 10006 (212) 248 – 7431 (p) gcalliste@tpglaws.com SCHOEMAN UPDIKE KAUFMAN & GERBER LLP

Attorneys for Defendants

/S/ Beth L. Kaufman

Beth L. Kaufman, Esq. Samantha Tomey, Esq. 551 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10176 bkaufman@schoeman.com stomey@schoeman.com

SO ORDERED:

le George B. Daniels, U.S.D.J.